INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND TRANSLATION STUDIES (IJELR)
A QUARTERLY, INDEXED, REFEREED AND PEER REVIEWED OPEN ACCESS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
http://www.ijelr.in (Impact Factor: 5.9745) (ICI)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Vol. 13. Issue 1. 2026 (Jan-March.)

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA
2395-2628(Print):2349-9451(online)

The Scars of Memory: Female Narrative and Postcolonial National Reconstruction in *Purple Hibiscus*

Li Qian
Master's Student, Beijing International Studies University
Beijing, China
Email: LambSweet@163.com
doi: 10.33329/ijelr.13.1.18

Article information
Article Received:19/12/2025
Article Accepted:10/01/2026
Published online:15/01/2026

Abstract

This paper is intended to examine the relationship between female narrative and postcolonial national reconstruction in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus*. Situated in the postcolonial realities of Nigeria, the novel demonstrates how women's private experiences of trauma are narratively mobilized as sites through which the nation's collective wounds and its fractured processes of reconstruction are articulated. Drawing on postcolonial theory, particularly Homi Bhabha's concept of the nation as narration and Gayatri Spivak's reflections on female subalternity, alongside Jan Assmann's theory of cultural memory, this paper argues that *Purple Hibiscus* reconfigures women's movement from silence into an alternative mode of national narration. By analyzing representations of trauma inscribed within the family, the body, and enforced silence, and by tracing the transformation of private memory into a public narrative register, the paper demonstrates how female storytelling revises fragmented national histories, intervenes in dominant imaginaries of the postcolonial nation, and gestures toward the formation of a more inclusive and ethically responsive national identity.

Keywords: *Purple Hibiscus*, postcolonialism, feminist narrative, cultural memory.

I. Introduction

In postcolonial Nigeria, the act of writing is inseparable from the act of remembering. Literature emerges as a means of confronting the colonial and patriarchal structures that have shaped the nation's consciousness. Within this historical and cultural matrix, Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* (2003) stands as a crucial text of what might be termed "female nation writing." Unlike male writers who often focus on grand national narratives, Adichie turns to female perspectives to excavate the "gendered memory" of postcolonial trauma. In *Purple Hibiscus*, the private sphere of the family becomes a microcosm of Nigeria's postcolonial predicament: the father Eugene's authoritarian rule, his blind adherence to Western Christianity, and his violence against his family mirror the oppressive,

westernized elite governance in postcolonial Nigeria, while the aunt Ifeoma's liberal, community-oriented household embodies the resilience of indigenous culture and resistance. This paper argues that female narrative in *Purple Hibiscus* is not merely a recount of personal suffering but a political intervention, through private stories, women rewrite the national history that has long marginalized their voices.

Existing studies on *Purple Hibiscus* has focused on themes such as family violence, religious oppression, and postcolonial cultural hybridity. Scholars have widely recognized that the Achike family's domestic violence is not merely a private drama but a metaphor for Nigeria's postcolonial political violence. Zhang Yong notes that the "collapse of the Achike family" parallels Nigeria's post-civil war fragmentation, arguing that Eugene's violence is a response to his own "identity anxiety as a colonized subject" (108). A second major strand of research examines how Adichie critiques the role of colonial Christianity in perpetuating postcolonial inequality. Zhang Yan argues that Eugene's fanatical Catholicism is a form of "internalized colonialism" (132). However, few studies have systematically explored how female narrative, as a form of cultural memory, participates in postcolonial national reconstruction. This paper addresses three core research questions: How do female narratives in *Purple Hibiscus* reveal the shared trauma of the family and the nation? How does private memory map the historical rupture and reconstruction of the postcolonial state? By what narrative strategies does female narrative revise and challenge the dominant national discourse?

The significance of this study lies in two aspects. Theoretically, it integrates postcolonial theory, cultural memory theory, and feminist narrative theory to construct a "female narrative-postcolonial national reconstruction" analytical framework, enriching the research on African women's literature and postcolonial national identity. Practically, it reveals how literary narratives can mediate between personal trauma and collective memory, providing insights into the reconstruction of inclusive national identities in postcolonial societies.

II. Nation as Narration: Gendered Memory in Postcolonial Contexts

The convergence of nation, gender, and memory forms a crucial aspect in the analysis of *Purple Hibiscus*. To understand how Adichie transforms the domestic and feminine narration into postcolonial narration, it is essential to sort the theoretical frameworks that inform this intersection. Drawing upon Homi Bhabha's *Nation as Narration*, Gayatri Spivak's concept of the subaltern's voice, Jan Assmann's theory of cultural memory, this chapter constructs a different lens through which the novel's political and affective dimension can be interpreted.

Homi Bhabha proposes the nation as a performative and narrative construct rather than a fixed political entity. "Nations, like narratives, lose their origins in the myths of time and only fully realize their horizons in the mind's eye" (*Nation and Narration* 1). The nation, in this view, is achieved a process of enunciation a continuous negotiation among competing voices, memories, and identities. This narrative instability becomes evident in postcolonial Nigeria, the colonial imposition of borders and the subsequent fragmentation of indigenous identities produce a nation perpetually caught between memory and amnesia. Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* situates within this liminal space: the Achike household mirrors the fractured nation, and Kambili's tentative narration embodies the struggle to religion, colonialism, and modernity.

Gayatri Spivak's *Can the subaltern speak?* Remains a central provocation in postcolonial theory. Spivak argues that the subaltern woman, doubly marginalized by colonial and patriarchal systems, is often deprived of both agency and representation. Her voice, when mediated through dominant discourses, is either silenced or distorted (Spivak 80). This theoretical predicament represents with Kambili's position in *Purple Hibiscus*. At the beginning of the novel, Kambili embodies the subaltern condition: she is rendered voiceless under her father's control and by the moral absolutism of colonial Christianity. Her speech is halting, her emotional life suppressed. "The silence was broken only by the

whir of the ceiling fan as it sliced through the still air. Although our spacious dining room gave way to an even wider living room, I felt suffocated." (8). Yet Adichie transforms this enforced silence into the ground of future articulation. Through her exposure to Aunty Ifeoma's liberal household, Kambili learns the liberating power of speech and laughter.

While Bhabha and Spivak illuminate the political dimensions of narration and voice, Jan Assmann's theory of cultural memory provides the means to understand how private experiences of trauma are transformed into collective consciousness. Jan Assmann distinguishes communicative memory and cultural memory, the first term refers to the transmission of personal experiences, the later term means the institutionalized, symbolically mediated recollection that sustains collective identity (J. Assmann, 1995). In *Purple Hibiscus*, the movement from communicative to cultural memory is enacted through Kambili's narration itself. Her recollections of domestic violence, religious ritual, and pain form an archive that transcends the personal. The novel becomes, in Assmann's terms, a "memory medium", that is a textual site where individual trauma acquires public significance.

III. Embodied and Silenced Traumas: Family, Body, and Narrative Memory

If the nation, as Homi Bhabha asserts, is a narrative in perpetual negotiation, then the domestic sphere in *Purple Hibiscus* becomes one of its most contested sites. Adichie pictures the Nigerian household as a microcosm of the postcolonial nation, where the legacies of colonialism, religion, and patriarchy intersect violently upon women's bodies. In the Achike family, the dynamics of domination and repression reproduce the structures of national governance, suggesting that the trauma of the postcolonial state is inscribed first and foremost in the intimate spaces of family life. Through the intertwined motifs of family, body, and silence, Adichie transforms private pain into a form of political symbol.

1. Family as a Microcosm of Nation

In postcolonial literature, the family is often used as a metaphor for the nation. In *Purple Hibiscus*, Eugene's authoritarian family is a microcosm of postcolonial Nigeria's political crisis. Eugene, a wealthy businessman and devout Catholic, imposes rigid rules on his family: they must attend mass every day, speak only English at home, and never resist his authority. His violence against his wife and children, "Papa flung his heavy missal across the room," Kambili recounts. "The sound was like a door closing" (4). The image, an object of piety turned into a weapon, symbols the novel's central paradox: faith becomes a medium of domination and love a pretext for control.

Eugene's paternal despotism mirrors the moralized violence of state governance. His insistence on obedience, justified through Catholic doctrine, echoes the authoritarian rhetoric of political leaders who invoke national unity to legitimize control. Through this novel, Adichie has noted that the home can be as much a site of tyranny as the state. Thus, Eugene's household embodies the "intimate tyranny" of postcolonial power—where domination is both external and internalized, both public and domestic.

The novel constructs a set of spatial oppositions to dramatize this allegory. The Achike mansion in Enugu is extremely ordered, with walls and gates symbolizing political isolation. Every act is surveilled, every gesture coded within the logic of fear. In contrast, Aunty Ifeoma's family in Nsukka represents an alternative national vision: a space of conversation, laughter and plural belief. "Her laughter floated upstairs into the living room," Kambili recalls, I had not heard it in two years, but I would know that cackling, hearty sound anywhere." (72). If Enugu stands for the ossified, Westernized elite of postcolonial Nigeria, Nsukka signifies a democratic, indigenous, and dialogic future. The two households thus stage a dialectic of nationhood—between repression and renewal, between mimicry and authenticity.

Through this domestic allegory, Adichie implies that the project of nation reconstruction must begin within the microcosm of the family. The liberation of the national body requires the liberation of the female body and voice. As Jaja's rebellion against his father initiates the family's transformation, so too does civil resistance mark the nation's moral awakening. The domestic and the political are not separate spheres but parallel narratives within the same history of struggle.

2. Body as a Site of Resistance

The female body in *Purple Hibiscus* is a text upon which social, religious, and political conflicts are brutally written. Kambili's body bears the direct marks of her father's discipline, from the scars left by beatings to the sickness induced by drinking boiling water as punishment. Her mother, Beatrice, suffers miscarriages as a result of Eugene's abuse, her body literally refusing to carry forth the lineage of the tyrant. This bodily is a powerful metaphor for the collective historical pain of a nation subjected successive waves of violence.

Central to Adichie's narrative strategy is the politicization of private memory. The novel stages a transition from individual suffering to collective consciousness, demonstrating how personal trauma becomes an instrument of historical understanding. Jan Assmann's concept of cultural memory provides an illuminating framework for this transformation. According to Assmann, cultural memory "preserves the store of experience from which a group derives its awareness of unity and direction" (J. Assmann, 2011: 38). In *Purple Hibiscus*, this preservation occurs through storytelling itself—the act of narration becomes an act of remembering, and remembering becomes an act of nation-building.

Kambili's narration functions as both confession and archive. Her recollections of domestic violence and religious fanaticism not only testify to familial trauma but also gesture toward the broader patterns of postcolonial suffering. When she describes her father's abuse "Papa flung his missal; it landed on Jaja's shoulder. The sound was like a door closing" (4), the image resonates beyond the family. The door closing evokes her memories into narrative, Kambili converts private pain into what Assmann would call "collective memory", that is, a cultural resource of understanding the nation's fractured identity. Moreover, Adichie's narrative structure mirrors the process of memorialization. The interweaving of flashbacks, silences, and sensory detail recreate the working memory itself. Trauma disrupts chronology, producing a fragmented narration that resists closure. This formal strategy challenges the linear temporality of official history, substituting it with a cyclical, affective, and feminine mode of remembrance. In this sense, *Purple Hibiscus* functions as a counter-archive, rewriting national history through the embodied memories of those whom history silenced.

The politization of memory is also enacted through the novel's publication and reception. As an internationally acclaimed Nigerian novel written by a young female author, *Purple Hibiscus* brings private trauma into the global literary sphere, truing the domestic wounds of Nigeria into a transnational discourse of postcolonial recovery. The novel itself becomes a cultural event-its success signifies the possibility of translating private pain into collective consciousness. Adichie's text, therefore, participates not only in literary storytelling but also in the public performance of memory.

3. Silence as Memory and Subversion

Silence is a central theme in *Purple Hibiscus*, and it is also closely linked to the female characters' oppression. Kambili, initially, is completely silent-she rarely speaks, even others talk to her. Her silence is a result of Eugene's psychological abuse: he punishes her for expressing her opinion, teaching her that silence is the only way to survive. Spivak argues that subaltern women are silenced by both colonialism and patriarchy, unable to speak in their own voices (Spivak: 90), and Kambili's initial silence embodies this subaltern predicament.

However, silence in this novel is not merely a sigh of oppression, it is also a space of resistance and accumulation. Kambili's silence allows her to observe and absorb her surroundings, and she

records her observations in her diary. Her diary becomes a private space where she can express her thought and feelings. When she witnessed with her own eyes the oppression her mother suffered and being beaten by her father "I went upstairs then and sat staring at my textbook. The black type blurred, the letters swimming into one another, and then changed to a bright red, the red of fresh blood. The blood was watery, flowing from Mama, flowing from my eyes (36)". This is a consequence of trauma, Kambili's heart is filled with sympathy for her mother, her own grief, and anger at her father's violent behavior, but these emotions cannot find an outlet. Under this patriarchal family, she could only suppress these enormous emotional energies in her heart, which further her psychological burden. Seeing the letters in her textbook as blood is an external manifestation of such emotions. The turning point is Kambili's journey in her Aunty Ifeoma's household in Nsukka, where Ifeoma encourages her to speak her mind. Ifeoma's household is a space of laughter, critical thinking and debate, where everyone's opinions are valued. Under Ifeoma's influence, Kambili begins to speak up, first in small ways, like laughing at a joke, then in larger ways, like questioning her father's authority. Her first laugh, a seemingly trivial act, is a powerful symbol of her liberation: it is the sound of a silenced voice finally breaking free. By the end of the novel, Kambili is able to confront her past and tell her story, embodying Spivak's hope that subaltern women can find a way to speak. The recovery of language is a gradual process, mirrored in the novel's narrative style, which becomes progressively more confident and analytical. She reclaims her story from the control of her father and, by extension, challenges the narratives that would silence dissident voices in the public sphere.

Through Kambili's journey, Adichie reimagines the nation as a maternal space: nurturing, dialogic, and inclusive, yet marked by suffering. The symbolic connection between motherhood and nationhood, long exploited by patriarchal nationalism, is here redefined: instead of the nation as a woman to be protected or possessed, Adichie envisions the nation as a woman who remembers, resists, and rebuilds.

IV. From the Domestic to the National: Female Counter-Narratives and Collective Memory

To rewrite the nation from the perspective of the silenced is not merely to revise a story; it is to redefine what counts as history itself. In *Purple Hibiscus*, Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie reclaims the act of narration as a political intervention, transforming female experience into an alternative historiography of postcolonial Nigeria. This chapter examines how Adichie's novel constructs a counter-narrative that displaces patriarchal and colonial discourses, reimagines national identity through female memory, and ultimately proposes a vision of collective renewal grounded in empathy, hybridity, and resilience.

1. The Female Voice as Historical Intervention

In the canonical literature of postcolonial Nigeria, ranging from Chinua Achene's *Things Fall Apart* to Wole Soyinka's *The Interpreters*, national history has largely been narrated through male consciousness and public experience. Adichie's intervention lies in shifting this focus to the private, domestic, and emotional realms. The voice of Kambili, fragile yet persistent, functions as a historical agent. By narrating her personal pain, she produces what Hayden White calls a "poetics of historical consciousness" (*Metahistory* 12), a mode of storytelling that transforms lived experience into moral and political meaning.

The reorientation challenges both patriarchal and nationalist historiography. Nationalist discourse often constructs women as symbolic figures—the mother of the nation, the guardian of tradition—without granting them narrative authority. Adichie dismantles this trope by giving women not symbolic but literal voices. Kambili, Beatrice, and Aunty Ifeoma are not metaphors of nationhood; they are narrators of it. Their speech, silence, and bodily memory constitute a collective female archive that contests the official record of Nigeria's postcolonial state. In this sense, *Purple Hibiscu*s participates in what Spivak terms "the re-inscription of the subaltern into history" (Spivak 92). Through Kambili's

narration, the subaltern not only speaks but also writes, her voice becomes the textual site where new forms of historical knowledge emerge. The novel thereby enacts a process of epistemic justice: it recognizes emotion, silence, and domestic experience as valid sources of truth about the nation.

Kambili's diary, initially a private space for processing trauma, evolves into a counter-archive that challenges the sanitized version of postcolonial history. Unlike official records that frame Nigeria's independence as a clean break from colonial oppression, Kambili's entries document the continuity of colonial violence through paternal tyranny. For instance, she records the night Eugene prayed for Papa-Nnukwu: "Finally, for twenty minutes, Papa prayed for... the conversion of our Papa-Nnukwu, so that Papa-Nnukwu would be saved from hell. Papa spent some time describing hell, as if God did not know that the flames were eternal and raging and fierce." (62). This passage is a deliberate historical intervention: this pray reveals Eugene's internalized colonial anxiety—his pray is not merely personal, but a symptom of the colonial project's demand to erase indigenous culture. By preserving this moment, Kambili creates a record of "cultural genocide" that official history ignores; her voice transforms private suffering into evidence of the colonial legacy's persistence in postcolonial households.

2. The Poetics of Fragmentation: Narrative Form as Resistance

Adichie's counter-narrative does not merely challenge the content of national history; it reconfigures its form. The fragmented, nonlinear structure of *Purple Hibiscus* resists the coherence and teleology of official historiography. The novel begins not with origins but with crisis, "Things started to fall apart at home when my brother Jaja did not go to communion" (4), an explicit of Achebe's canonical opening, but rewritten through the lens of domestic disobedience. Where Achebe's masculine narrative traces the fall of patriarch, Adichie's feminine narrative begins with the quiet rebellion of a son and the awakening of a daughter. This disrupted chronology mirrors the logic of memory rather than that of history. Flashbacks, silences, and sensory recollections replace linear progress, embodying what Julia Kristeva calls "women's time"—a cyclical temporality that resists patriarchal order (*Women's Time* 17). This formal strategy allows Adichie to embed trauma within the very structure of narration. The repetition of domestic scenes—the breaking of a figurine, the pouring of hot water, the sound of the missal—creates a rhythm of recurrence that mimics the persistence of memory.

Kambili's diary entries are structured as trauma fragments—short, disjointed passages that resist chronological order, reflecting the way trauma disrupts the flow of time. Unlike official history, which presents events as a logical sequence, Kambili's diary jumps between moments of violence, resistance, and cultural awakening without transitions. For example, "He lowered the kettle into the tub, tilted it toward my feet. He poured the hot water on my feet, slowly, as if he were conducting an experiment and wanted to see what would happen" (195). "I thought about Father Amadi's musical voice, about the wide gap that showed between Amaka s teeth when she laughed, about Aunty Ifeoma stirring stew at her kerosene stove. I thought about Obiora pushing his glasses up his nose and Chima curled up on the sofa, fast asleep." (197). This fragmentation refuses to make sense of trauma in the way official discourse dose, instead, it forces readers to confront the incoherence of postcolonial suffering. The novel's reliance on sensory fragmentation, disconnected images of taste, smell, touch, and sound, undermines the rational, abstract language of official national history. Official discourse uses impersonal, bureaucratic language to describe violence, but Kambili's narrative fixates on sensory details that ground trauma in embodies experience. For instance, after the Palm Sunday conflict (Papa threw the missal and threatened Jaja), "I was certain the soup was good, but I did not taste it, could not taste it. My tongue felt like paper" (13), when Aunty Ifeoma was forced to leave Nsukka, "I dreamed that that the sole administrator was pouring hot water on Aunty Ifeoma's feet in the bathtub of our home in Enugu. Then Aunty Ifeoma jumped out of the bathtub and, in the manner of dreams, jumped into America. She did not look back as I called to her to stop" (231). These sensory fragments are acts of resistance because they reassert the primacy of female bodily experience over the disembodied logic

of official history. In *Purple Hibiscus*, sensory fragmentation makes trauma tangible, refusing to let official discourse reduce it to abstract political terms.

Such narrative fragmentation also has political implications. The story ends not with triumph, but with fragile hope "We'll plant new orange trees in Abba when we come back, and Jaja will plant purple hibiscus, too." (307). This open end reflects the unfinished projects of nation reconstruction: the future remains uncertain, dependent on the continual labor of memory and empathy. In this sense, the novel's form embodies its political ethic, that is resisting domination through openness, fluidity and multiplicity.

3. Silence, Memory, and the Rewriting of National History

Throughout the novel, silence functions as both a trace of trauma and a tool of rewriting. Kambili's eventual narration converts what was once silence into speech, but the memory of silence persists as an ethnical limit—a reminder of what cannot be fully represented. As Gayatri Spivak notes, the task of postcolonial writing is not simply to speak for the subaltern but to "rewrite the development of the consciousness" (Spivak 80). Adichie achieves this by leaving gaps, pauses, and ellipses within the narrative, allowing absence to signify presence.

Kambili's initial silence is a form of silent observation, a deliberate choice to listen and remember rather than speak, allowing her to accumulate detailed memories of the violence and cultural conflict that define her postcolonial reality. For much of the novel Kambili rarely speaks, instead, she watches her father burn Igbo artifacts, watches her mother hide her pain, watches Ifeoma teach her children Igbo traditions. Her silence is not submission, but a strategy to preserve memories that would otherwise be erased. For example, when Eugene annoyed with Kambili keep a picture of her grandfather, "He lowered the kettle into the tub, tilted it toward my feet. He poured the hot water on my feet, slowly, as if he were conducting an experiment and wanted to see what would happen. 'That is what you do to yourself when you walk into sin. You burn your feet,' he said. I wanted to say 'Yes, Papa,' because he was right, but the burning on my feet was climbing up, in swift courses of excruciating pain, to my head and lips and eyes. (195)" This compliance allows her to build a "counter-memory", a collection of moments that contradict the official narrative of postcolonial progress and Christian civilization. As cultural memory theorist Aleida Assmann notes, "counter-memories are often preserved in silence before they find a voice" (A. Assmann, 2011); Kambili's silence is the first step in constructing this counter-memory.

In its final movement, *Purple Hibiscus* shifts from the redemption of individuals to the renewal of the collective. The fates of Kambili, Jaja, Beatrice, and Ifeoma converge in an image of fragile rebirth. Jaja's imprisonment, rather than symbolizing defeat, becomes a moment of moral transcendence—his acceptance of guilt for a crime he did not commit echoes the nation's own need for accountability. Beatrice's poisoning of Eugune, thought tragic, clears the space for new growth; her silence after the act signifies not erasure but endurance. Kamili's closing word "We'll plant new orange trees in Abba when we come back, and Jaja will plant purple hibiscus, too, and I'll plant ixora so we can suck the juices of the flowers."(307) mark the transition from mourning to hope. The act of planting functions as what Assmann would call "ritualized remembrance", a performative gesture thar transforms memory into promise. The hibiscus, once a symbol of rebellion, becomes an emblem of regeneration.

V. Conclusion

This paper has explored the role of female narrative in postcolonial national reconstruction in Chimamanda Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus*. By analyzing the novel through the lenses of postcolonial theory, cultural memory theory, and feminist narrative theory, this study reveals that female narrative in *Purple Hibiscus* is a powerful tool for challenging the dominant national discourse, healing postcolonial trauma, and constructing an inclusive national identity.

The key findings of this study are threefold. First, the family in *Purple Hibiscus* is a microcosm of postcolonial Nigeria, with Eugene's Authoritarian household symbolizing the oppressive legacy of colonialism and Ifeoma's liberal household representing the hope of cultural revival. Second, the female body and silence are central to the representation of postcolonial trauma: the female body bears the scars of colonialism and patriarchy, while silence is both a form of oppression and a space of resistance. Third, the publicization of private memory is crucial to national reconstruction: female characters convert their personal trauma into narrative power, challenging the male-dominated national discourse.

To speak of "scars of memory" is to acknowledge both pain and endurance. The scars do not vanish, they testify. In *Purple Hibiscus*, these scars form the syntax of a new national language, one spoken in the voices of women who refuse to forget. Through the fusion of postcolonial theory, feminist ethics, and narrative artistry, Adichie teaches that storytelling itself is a form of nation reconstruction. The novel closes with the image of purple hibiscuses about bloom. This image encapsulates Adichie's vision: that from the ruins of violence can emerge the possibility of renewal, that from silence can grow song, that from the memory of suffering can arise a more inclusive and humane national imagination. In the end, this book invites us to rethink what it means to belong to a nation. It is not allegiance to a flag or a faith in a government, but to the shared labor of remembrance, the willingness to listen to each other's wounds and to let them speak. Through this act of ethnical listening, Adichie transforms literature into the supplementary space of the nation, a space where the unsaid becomes visible, and where the future begins with the courage to remember.

Reference

[1]. Adichie, C. N. (2003). *Purple hibiscus*. Algonquin Books of Chapel Hill.
[2]. Assmann, J. (2006). *Religion and cultural memory* (R. Livingstone, Trans.). Stanford University Press.
[3]. Assmann, J. (2011). *Cultural memory and early civilization: Writing, remembrance, and political imagination*. Cambridge University Press.
[4]. Assmann, J., et al. (1995). *Collective memory and cultural identity* (J. Czaplika, Trans.). *New German Critique*.
[5]. Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
[6]. Bhabha, H. K. (1990). *Nation and narration*. Routledge.
[7]. Butler, J. (1999). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
[8]. White, H. (1973). *Metahistory: The historical imagination in nineteenth-century Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
[9]. Kristeva, J., & Jardine, A. (1997). Women's time. In *Women's time* (pp. 13–35). University of Chicago Press.
[10]. Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 66–111). Macmillan Education UK.
[11]. Chen, Y., & Du, Z. (2023). Searching for Aunt's garden—Gender and nature in Adichie's novel *Purple hibiscus*. *Foreign Language and Literature Studies, 4*, 37–48, 134.
[12]. Huang, X. (2023). Trauma writing and gender ethics in *Purple hibiscus*. *Journal of Chongqing Jiaotong University (Social Sciences Edition), 2*, 89–96.
[13]. Wang, H. (2024). Violence and redemption in the family space in *Purple hibiscus*. *JinGu Creative Literature, 8*, 19–21.
[14]. Zhang, Y. (2020). Space and body in a postcolonial context: An analysis of the themes in Adichie's *Purple hibiscus*. *Journal of Huaqiao University (Philosophy and Social Sciences), 1*, 129–142.
[15]. Zhang, Y. (2017). Disintegration and reconstruction: National metaphors under the family narrative in Adichie's *Purple hibiscus*. *Contemporary Foreign Literature, 3*, 104–111.